

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-1789 (SMB)

SIPA Liquidation

(Substantively Consolidated)

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING TRUSTEE’S THIRTY-THIRD OMNIBUS MOTION
TO AFFIRM THE TRUSTEE’S CLAIMS DETERMINATIONS AND
OVERRULE OBJECTIONS THAT APPEAR TO RAISE FACTUAL ISSUES**

Upon consideration of the motion (the “Motion”) [Docket No. __], by Irving H. Picard, trustee (“Trustee”) for the substantively consolidated liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC (“BLMIS”) and the chapter 7 estate of Bernard L. Madoff (“Madoff”) (collectively, “Debtor”), in the above-captioned SIPA¹ liquidation proceeding seeking to have the Court affirm his claims determinations and overrule the related objections that appear to raise customer-specific factual issues (the “Objections”); and the net winner Claims to be disallowed and Objections to be overruled are identified in Exhibit A to the Declaration of Vineet Sehgal in Support of the Motion (the “Sehgal Declaration”), [Docket No. __]; and the net loser Claims determinations to be affirmed and Objections to be overruled are identified in Exhibit B to the

¹ All capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed in the Motion.

Sehgal Declaration; and due and proper notice of the Motion having been given and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Motion as set forth herein is in the best interests of the Debtor, its estate, creditors, and all parties in interest; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is

ORDERED that the relief requested in the Motion is granted to the extent provided herein; and it is further

ORDERED that the Claims listed on Exhibit A hereto are disallowed; and it is further

ORDERED that the Trustee's Claims determinations regarding the Claims listed on Exhibits A and B hereto are affirmed; and it is further

ORDERED that the Objections listed on Exhibits A and B hereto are overruled; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

Dated: _____, 2020
New York, New York

HONORABLE STUART M. BERNSTEIN
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

IN RE: BLMIS. CASE NO: 08-01789 (SMB)

EXHIBIT A – CLAIMS AND OBJECTIONS

Objecting Party	Claim Number	Objection To Determination Docket Number	Counsel	Account Name	Account Number
Gregg Felsen, in his capacity as Trustee of the FBO Trust U/A Arthur L. Felsen	005726	2024	Greene Espel PLLP	Millennium Trust Company, LLC FBO Arthur L Felsen (113312)	1F0159
Kerry A. Unflat, As Administratrix Of Estate Of M. Michael Unflat	005099	2055	Duane Morris LLP	Millennium Trust Company, LLC FBO M Michael Unflat (111683)	1U0016
Miriam Cantor Siegman	015350 100412	1029	Phillips Nizer LLP	Miriam Cantor Siegman	1S0259

IN RE: BLMIS. CASE NO: 08-01789 (SMB)

EXHIBIT B – CLAIMS AND OBJECTIONS

Objecting Party	Claim Number	Objection To Determination Docket Number	Counsel	Account Name	Account Number
Bonnie Webster	006225 100101	1843	Phillips Nizer LLP	Bonnie T Webster	1W0039
Toby Lees	002918	3003	Becker & Poliakoff, LLP	Toby Lees	1KW448